

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/690,457             | KU ET AL.           |  |

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| <b>All Participants:</b> | <b>Status of Application:</b> <u>Amendment</u> |
|--------------------------|--|

(1) Lilian Vo. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wayne Bailey. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 November 2005      **Time:** 1:35pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1, 6, 9, 10, 15, 17, 23 - 32.

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's attorney authorized an examiner's amendment to amend claims 1, 10, 17 and cancel claims 6, 9, 15, 22 and 24 - 32.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THOMAS LEE  
EXAMINER/PATENT PRACTICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)